Since buying a home is likely the most significant investment made by an individual or family, homeowners may be concerned about potential negative impacts on property values in their neighbourhood. Community opposition to the creation of new social housing, and supportive housing in particular, is often based on the fear that the introduction of social housing into a neighbourhood is going to lead to increased crime and devalued properties (Province of British Columbia, 2000; Goetz, Lam, & Heitlinger, 1996). Many studies over the years illustrate that stereotypes about the impact of social housing are often unfounded and that appropriately designed and integrated social housing in a neighbourhood can actually increase residential property values (Albright, Derickson, & Massey, 2013; Ellen et al., 2007; Nguyen, 2005; Galster, Tatian, & Pettit, 2003; Goetz, Lam, & Heitlinger, 1996).

The Christine Lamb Residence is surrounded by single-family homes, apartment buildings, a school and a community centre. Historically, the neighbourhood area had a below-average Median Assessed Residential Property Value (MARPV) compared to the City of Abbotsford (based on data since 2000).
**Data and Methodology**

**Data Source**

Tables provided by Landcor Data Corporation include data on the median assessed value of properties, such as residential, commercial, and other land use properties. The assessed value was assigned by BC Assessment. Properties were classified using BC Assessment property classification. The dataset provides Median Assessed Property Values (MAPVs) for the period of 2000-2018. MAPVs for various types of properties were provided in concentric zones around the case study supportive housing sites. Zones were defined as 100-metre concentric zones (circles) around a site:

- 0-100 m around site
- 101-200 m around site
- 201-300 m around site
- 301-400 m around site
- 401-500 m around site
- 0-500 m around site

For purposes of this study, only “Residential” class was used, thus excluding five following classes: “Civic, Institutional, Recreational”; “Commercial”; “Farm”; “Industrial Classification”; and “Transportation, Communication, Utility, Improvements.” Throughout the rest of this report, property values are defined as Median Assessed Residential Property Values (MARPVs).

**Methodology**

- To compare differences in changing MARPV for areas with and without supportive housing, the immediate area around the site (defined as the 200 m concentric circle around the case study site: includes averaged values from 0-100 m and 101-200 m areas) and the neighbourhood (defined as the 500 m concentric circle around the case study site) are compared to the each city’s MARPV over the reporting periods.

- To measure short-term changes in MARPV from the construction of supportive housing, comparisons between MARPV at the year of site opening and two years after the site opening are made, and values are compared to respective city change in MARPV over the same period.

- To measure longer-term trends and effects of supportive housing on the surrounding area, comparisons of changing MARPV are made between site opening and MARPV up to 2017.

**Data Limitations**

- The data presented in this report are based on the assessed, not market (sale/buy) value.

- The data are not adjusted to account for differences in floor area between household units, thus larger homes can affect median value. For example, if a re-zoned single-family home, which was originally valued at $1 million, is redeveloped into a 10-unit residential property, with each unit valued at $300,000, this will bring the MARPV in the area down, even though the land became more valuable and use was intensified, because there are more units at a lower MARPV.

- Large-scale trends can affect an area’s property value beyond the presence of social housing. Significant growth of housing prices in urban centres can be explained by fundamental economic factors, speculation and limited supply (CMHC, 2017). These factors can affect property prices in a neighbourhood far beyond potential impact of social housing on nearby property values (Nguyen, 2005).

- Since the analysis is based on aggregate data for thousands of properties near each case study site, shorter-term and/or smaller trends may be obscured by the volume of data.

- For many of the properties analyzed, the timelines overlapped with the 2008 financial crisis. This explains the reduction and/or stagnation in MARPV in the years 2009-2010.

- Note that there was no available breakdown for each of the property categories, thus all types of residential properties were aggregated into zones for analysis.

**Acronyms:**

- MAPV – Median Assessed Property Value
- MARPV(s) – Median Assessed Residential Property Value(s)
Key Findings

Pre-Opening

› In the two years leading up to the opening of Christine Lamb Residence in 2012, the MARPV in the immediate area was declining and significantly lower compared to the neighbourhood and City of Abbotsford MARPVs.

Post-Opening

› Though still significantly below the values for the neighbourhood and the City of Abbotsford overall, the MARPV in the Christine Lamb Residence immediate area remained relatively stable in the two years after site opening, as did the values for the neighbourhood and City.

• The MARPV in the Christine Lamb Residence immediate area increased 2% in the two years after opening in 2012, a similar slow growth was present in the neighbourhood and the City overall, which increased 4% and 1% respectively in the same period.

› Looking to 2017, the immediate area MARPV increased at a slower pace compared to the neighbourhood and City overall. The immediate area did see an increase around the same time as the neighbourhood and City, but it was not as significant.

• MARPVs in the Christine Lamb Residence immediate area and neighbourhood increased 28% and 59% respectively in the five years since opening in 2012, while the MARPV in the City overall grew by 53% in the same period.

› The MARPV in the immediate area was $150,000 in 2017, compared to $507,000 in the neighbourhood and $528,700 for the City overall.
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More Information:

Visit BC Housing’s Research Centre at www.bchousing.org to find the latest workshops, research and publications on the key challenges and successes in building and operating affordable, sustainable housing.

NOTICE TO READERS:
The greatest care has been taken to confirm the accuracy of the information contained herein. However, the authors, funder and publisher assume no liability for any damage, injury or expense that may be incurred or suffered as a result of the use of this publication including products, building techniques or practices. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of any individual contributor or BC Housing. It is always advisable to seek specific information on the use of products in any application or detail from manufacturers or suppliers of the products and consultants with appropriate qualifications and experience.
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