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Scattered-site supportive housing programs assist individuals experiencing – or at risk of experiencing – homelessness in transitioning from the streets, shelters or inadequate housing to long-term housing stability in the community. BC Housing supports this type of housing by investing in community-based non-profit agencies that provide programming and rent supplements for market housing. These programs are funded through the Homeless Outreach and Homeless Prevention Programs (HOP and HPP).

The Motivation, Power, Achievement (MPA) Society receives HOP and HPP investment from BC Housing for their SOLO1 Program, a scattered-site supportive housing program option within MPA Society’s extensive continuum of support services. Through SOLO, individuals who are homeless or at-risk of homelessness receive support in accessing community-based market rental housing options. Portfolio development officers at MPA Society act as landlord liaisons, securing housing opportunities in the community, while specialized MPA Society mental health outreach workers work directly with clients to stabilize housing and maintain housing stability. The program goal is to support participants in maintaining as much independence as possible while residing in the community of their choice.

The average length of stay in scattered-site supportive housing for SOLO clients is four years, which demonstrates the significant stability enabled through SOLO program supports. This stability over a four-year tenancy can be life-changing, enabling greater independence and the ability to maintain housing in the future.

For every dollar invested in scattered-site supportive housing through MPA’s SOLO Program, nearly three and a half dollars in social and economic value is created.

1 Note: SOLO stands for Supported Outreach Living Opportunities
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### This study examines:
- The social and economic value created by investing in the operation of scattered-site supportive housing
- The breakdown by stakeholder group of social and economic value that is created
- Implications for future investment

---

### The Social Return on Investment (SROI) Methodology

The SROI methodology articulates the financial value of outcomes created through a social investment (such as investment in supportive housing), to reveal how much value is created for every dollar invested. An SROI analysis combines quantitative, qualitative, and participatory research techniques to show the value of outcomes from different stakeholder perspectives. The result is an SROI ratio that compares the total investment to the financial value of the social and economic outcomes that are achieved, showing – in monetary terms – the financial benefit of social investments.

The current research follows the internationally standardized SROI methodology and uses information from existing academic research and grey literature (including other SROI studies and economic analyses), and data gathered from residents, partners, and supportive housing providers.

---

### KEY ELEMENTS IN THE SOLO PROGRAM SROI MODEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Investment by BC Housing (through HOP and HPP) as the sole investor in total program costs, including staffing, programming, administration, housing locator staff position, repairs, and rent supplements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timeframe for Investment</td>
<td>2016-2017 operating year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholders</td>
<td>Residents (single men &amp; women adults) (primary stakeholders)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landlords</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Government systems (various levels)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Investor (BC Housing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of Outcomes</td>
<td>Average length of stay in SOLO scattered-site supportive housing is four years, so outcomes were expected to last at least one year without further investment. Outcomes are valued for one year without value into the future as, without further investment, residents may not continue to experience program related outcomes (e.g. they may return to homelessness). While speculation about future outcomes for residents is not included in the SROI model, the experience of four years of stable housing likely enables residents to build important housing and life skills, leading to positive future outcomes regardless of continued program investment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach</td>
<td>The SROI analysis of the SOLO Program employs a primarily evaluative approach with some forecasting based on primary and rigorous secondary research.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outcomes Included in the SROI Analysis

Outcomes included and valued in the SROI analysis were identified based on existing research, information from MPA Society staff and resident interviews. Each outcome was assigned a financial value in the calculation of the SROI ratio. Outcomes include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder</th>
<th>Key Outcomes Included in the SROI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Residents (single male & female adults) | › Increased access to high quality housing and decreased experiences of homelessness  
› Increased overall wellbeing including positive changes in physical and mental health  
› Increased safety and decreased experiences of violence  
› Decreased harm from risk involved with street-based sex work  
› Decreased harm from substance use and increased ability to move towards reducing use  
› Increased personal disposable income  
› Increased ability to engage in employment  
› Increased ability to be involved in community (such as volunteering) |
| Landlords | › Decreased time and resources managing tenancies (such as evictions, repairs, resident relations) |
| Local community/ neighbourhood | › Improved local neighbourhood and community quality  
› Increased local economic activity due to resident spending |
| Government (all levels) | › Decreased use of emergency services by residents such as ambulances and emergency rooms  
› Decreased use of homeless shelters by residents  
› Decreased resident involvement in the justice system  
› Decreased long-term hospital stays by residents  
› Decreased service use related to resident substance use  
› Decreased risk of sexual exploitation related to homelessness among residents (and associated decreased government service use) |

“When you have a home you feel like you can settle down. You’re not on the run. My building feels safe and people work and it inspired me to work too. I was not working for 10 years and now [I do].”

– SOLO Program Resident
SOLO Program SROI Results

SROI analysis of investment in MPA Society’s SOLO program revealed:

For every dollar invested in scattered-site supportive housing through SOLO, nearly three and a half dollars in social and economic value is created.

Value Breakdown by Stakeholder Group

All stakeholders included in the SROI analysis experience value from outcomes, including:

**Government:** Approximately 53% of the social and economic value goes back to the government in cost reallocations related to decreased service use by residents. For every dollar invested, nearly two dollars is generated for government in cost reallocations due to decreased service use.

**Local Community:** While the community as a whole benefits from decreased service use that increases efficiency and reduces costs to taxpayers over time, an additional 1% of the value goes back to the local community. This value is generated through improved community quality and local spending by residents. The value is experienced by community members who encounter less homelessness in their community, businesses that benefit from local spending, and public institutions (like the library) that have more actively engaged community members using their services. While some value to the local community was captured through the SROI analysis, this value is likely understated, as benefits from resident community engagement and benefits for businesses (beyond local spending) were not fully captured in the SROI model.

**Landlords:** Approximately 2% of the value is returned to landlords who support program success. Landlords experience value through positive and stable tenancies supported through the program and decreased loss of income and time spent due to rent arrears, repairs or evictions. While some value to landlords was captured through the SROI analysis, this value is likely understated, because benefits such as the value to landlords of knowing they are contributing to community wellbeing through their involvement with the program were not fully captured in the model.

**Residents:** Approximately 44% of the social and economic value goes back to residents, through increased wellbeing, safety, disposable income, harm reduction, and ability to engage in employment and volunteering. This indicates that, while scattered-site supportive housing creates important value for the government, it is also generating significant value for people living in supportive housing.
Discussion

With nearly three and a half dollars in social and economic value created for every dollar invested in the SOLO Program, it is clear that significant value is created through scattered-site supportive housing for adults who have experienced homelessness or difficulties maintaining housing.

While the SROI ratio represents important value that is created through the SOLO Program, it is important to emphasize that the SOLO Program model produces longer periods of stable housing (on average, four years), the value of which is not well-captured through the SROI analysis. Support to ensure this level of stability often requires more resources. These additional resources are included as inputs within the SROI analysis and possibly lower the SROI ratio despite the achievement of many positive outcomes for residents. Overall, the SOLO Program SROI analysis provides a conservative estimate of the total social and economic value created, since it was not possible to measure and capture the financial value of all potential outcomes. The actual social and economic value created by MPA Society’s SOLO Program is likely much higher.

Lessons Learned

› Investment in scattered-site supportive housing creates significant social and economic value, not only for the various levels of government that may experience decreased service use, but also for supportive housing residents, families, landlords and local communities. Further investment in scattered-site supportive housing is expected to generate value while addressing a social need.

› Investment in increasing the supply of affordable housing can enhance the value of scattered-site supportive housing, which relies on the availability of affordable market rentals for vulnerable individuals.

› Further research is needed to continue to understand and track the social and economic value created from scattered-site supportive housing.

“As our supported housing system is made up of a number of different housing providers, all of these housing providers benefit as their tenants have access to the SOLO Program.”

- SOLO Program Community Partner

Thank You

Our sincere thanks to all the residents who shared their perspectives and stories with us.

Our sincere appreciation to MPA Society staff for sharing their valuable insights and for supporting this study.

More Information:

Visit BC Housing’s Research Centre at www.bchousing.org to find the latest workshops, research and publications on building and operating affordable, sustainable housing.

NOTICE TO READERS:

The greatest care has been taken to confirm the accuracy of the information contained herein. However, the authors, funder, and publisher assume no liability for any damage, injury or expense that may be incurred or suffered as a result of the use of this publication including products, building techniques or practices. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of any individual contributor or BC Housing. It is always advisable to seek specific information on the use of products in any application or detail from manufacturers or suppliers of the products and consultants with appropriate qualifications and experience.

Contact: Research Centre Email: research@bchousing.org Phone: 604-439-4135
To find more Building Knowledge Case Studies, visit our website at: www.bchousing.org

This study was conducted by SROI experts at Constellation Consulting Group